

# Water and wastewater OT assessment planning pack

Planning edition October 16, 2026

Operational technology, industrial control systems and supervisory control and data acquisition

United States federal requirements with a 50-state and local applicability companion

## Purpose

Plan the work, establish the applicable obligations, collect traceable evidence, protect operating authority and carry corrective actions through verification and handover.

## Working records

Use the editable project workbook for applicability, work planning, evidence, findings, local duties and notification decisions. Fillable worksheets are included in the sector manuals and state/local companion. Save completed records only in the approved location.

## Facility adoption

Complete the site-specific decisions and obtain the responsible operating, technical and compliance approvals. Unresolved instruments and local requirements are listed in the review report. The research edition does not contain a facility compliance determination.

# Contents

Select a section title to go to that page. Source references in the text open the issuing authority or publisher.

|                                                  |                                               |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 3 Planning scope and research basis              | 20 Accounts legacy systems and suppliers      |
| 4 Using the corrected workbook edition           | 21 Monitoring time and evidence handling      |
| 5 Using this planning pack                       | 22 Recovery and continuity evidence           |
| 6 Decisions before mobilization                  | 23 Authorization for active validation        |
| 7 Roles and decision authority                   | 24 Writing findings that can be audited       |
| 8 Project stages and acceptance                  | 25 Corrective work and management reporting   |
| 9 Applicability and obligation records           | 26 Verification closure and recurring work    |
| 10 Federal drinking water obligations            | 27 Facility and applicability worksheet       |
| 11 Wastewater and discharge obligations          | 28 Field activity authorization worksheet     |
| 12 State water requirements that change the plan | 29 Evidence and finding worksheet             |
| 13 Water process examination                     | 30 Corrective action and acceptance worksheet |
| 14 Water incident and continuity exercise        | 31 Terms used in the planning records         |
| 15 Water reporting and recurring calendar        | 32 Sources and instrument status              |
| 16 Document requests and interviews              | 33 Sources and instrument status              |
| 17 Safe work and stop authority                  | 34 Sources and instrument status              |
| 18 Inventory and process dependencies            | 35 Sources and instrument status              |
| 19 Network paths and remote access               | 36 Sources and instrument status              |

# Planning scope and research basis

This pack supports a controlled assessment of treatment, disinfection, pumping, storage, distribution, collection and effluent control in the United States. Use the companion volume to screen all 50 states and resolve the actual local and facility requirements.

## What the assessment produces

An approved scope, applicability register, process dependency map, examined evidence, safe activity records, consequence-based findings, corrective plan and verification record. The workbook and fillable worksheets carry the work from planning through handover.

## Research cutoff

The regulatory research cutoff is October 16, 2026. Current public primary sources, official program material and selected peer reviewed research support the text. Effective instruments take precedence over guidance and this planning edition. Full normative ISA/IEC standards, operator security directives, facility permits and private contracts were not supplied.

## Open applicability

The source register marks restricted retrieval, older or expired instruments, drafts and unresolved questions. A 50-state screening is not an exhaustive legal opinion on every locality. Use the identified gaps to obtain the controlling text and facility facts before signing a site-specific conclusion.

## Adoption and review

Complete the facility-specific records and obtain the named operating, technical and compliance approvals. The recorded adversarial content, usability and accuracy reviews are in the Review findings and unresolved questions report.

Sources: [F01](#), [F02](#), [R01](#), [R02](#), [R03](#).

# Using the corrected workbook edition

Edition 2026-10-16-r2 adds structured execution records and separates evidence gaps from supported conclusions.

## Before execution

Use the exact criterion, population, period, sampling rationale, permitted method, execution date, actual observation, evidence IDs and reviewer. A proposed test is not an assessment result. Keep sources and operating authority separate.

## Open and incomplete decisions

The open count includes blanks, invalid decision text and resolved selections missing required record fields. Fields present still requires qualified review. Actual approvals remain uncompleted until the responsible people review the work.

## Scope and authority

Record every proposed exclusion, its reason and responsible reviewer in Scope decisions. Deferred work remains visible. State research is a separately labelled routing register; the 200 state-sector rows are research tasks. For NY use the Clause matrix and Q01-Q10. Missing current instruments and local facts remain unresolved.

## Source access and revision

Sources list retrieval status and available snapshot hashes. A restricted URL is not proof that a duty does not exist. Edition record tracks actual project revisions and reviews. Retain the previous edition and do not treat a template publication as a personal technical or legal approval.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#).

# Using this planning pack

Use this pack to organize an assessment of treatment, disinfection, pumping, storage, distribution, collection and effluent control. Start with the legal and operating boundary. Select evidence and methods only after the people responsible for the process agree what may be examined.

## First use

Read the sector applicability pages, then the State and local applicability companion. Create one record for each legal entity, facility and regulatory program. The workbook contains 200 open state-sector research rows and a separate 50-note selected-source register. Those rows are research prompts, not completed facility determinations.

Appoint a sponsor, operating owner, technical lead, compliance owner and project manager. Complete the site worksheet. Resolve mandatory instruments and operating permissions before scheduling intrusive work. Use the field authorization sheet for each approved activity.

## Reading the evidence

The pack separates binding law or an incorporated instrument, agency guidance, standards, and proposed assessment procedures. A citation identifies the source for a regulatory statement. Procedure descriptions explain how the assessment team can collect evidence; they do not create a new legal obligation.

A rule can be effective while a particular requirement has a later compliance date. An approved standard can still be subject to future enforcement. Record both dates and the applicable version. Never infer a deadline solely from a publication date.

## Before signing an assessment conclusion

The reviewer needs the actual facility facts, current instruments, examined evidence, test permissions and unresolved limitations. No facility has been assessed by preparing this pack. A named technical reviewer must review the completed work before the organization adopts or publishes its conclusions.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#).

# Decisions before mobilization

Distinguish community drinking-water systems, noncommunity water systems, publicly owned treatment works, private wastewater facilities and industrial dischargers. Record population, service connections and customers as separate quantities.

## Define the work product

Decide whether the engagement is an engineering risk assessment, a gap assessment against named requirements, independent assurance, or a combination with separated responsibilities. Name the intended recipients and who may receive the technical appendices. If the team designed the controls, disclose that relationship before describing its work as independent.

## Record the facility boundary

Identify PLCs and RTUs, SCADA servers, HMIs, engineering stations, dosing controllers, telemetry, remote pump stations, laboratory interfaces and vendor connections. Include supporting identity, DNS, time, virtualization, telecommunications and backup dependencies when their failure could affect the process. Identify shared corporate services and third-party interfaces without silently expanding test permission.

Record legal entity, physical address, owner, operator, sector, production or service function, permits, regulators, contracts, insurer conditions and public-body status. For distributed assets, list the other states, local authorities and control centers involved.

## Define exclusions

Document excluded safety systems, inaccessible vendor appliances, unsupported firmware, unapproved protocols and unavailable evidence. State how each exclusion limits the conclusion. An excluded critical dependency must remain visible to the sponsor rather than disappearing from the asset count.

## Release decision

The sponsor approves purpose and resources. The operating owner approves the process boundary. The compliance owner signs the applicability register. The technical lead signs the method. The project manager records the dependencies and unresolved items. Silence is not approval.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#).

# Roles and decision authority

Assign people and alternates before work starts. Small organizations may combine roles, but operating authorization, evidence review and risk acceptance must remain explicit.

| Role                                      | Decision or work owned                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sponsor or CISO                           | Approves business objective, resources and reporting audience. Accepts residual business risk only within delegated authority.                                                                                                                   |
| Operating owner and engineering authority | Approve process boundaries, safe state and production methods. Water operations determine safe treatment, pressure, chemical dosing and discharge conditions. An assessor cannot change a setpoint, dosing rate, alarm limit or controller mode. |
| Compliance owner and counsel              | Resolve applicable instruments, disclosure restrictions and incident-reporting duties. Identify the responsible filing official and alternate.                                                                                                   |
| Technical lead and field technician       | Design the permitted method and collect traceable evidence. A technician cannot expand the target list or authorize an active test.                                                                                                              |
| Project manager                           | Sequences work, tracks evidence and approvals, protects operating windows, manages changes and closes acceptance records.                                                                                                                        |
| Evidence custodian and quality reviewer   | Control evidence access, integrity, retention and independent review of facts and conclusions. Record conflicts and review exceptions.                                                                                                           |

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#).

# Project stages and acceptance

Plan by completed decisions and evidence, rather than a fixed number of days. Populate durations and staffing only after site access, document availability and maintenance windows are known.

| Stage                       | Required exit evidence                                                                                                                                           |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 Applicability and scope   | Signed facility register, controlling instruments, exclusions and reporting scope. Open legal questions have an owner and defined effect on the engagement.      |
| 2 Readiness                 | Approved evidence request, interviews, collection method, secure workspace, current backup evidence and operating contacts.                                      |
| 3 Examination               | Asset and process records, reconciled diagrams, sampled control evidence and a dated account of what was examined.                                               |
| 4 Authorized validation     | Activity permits, baseline observations, test log, stop/recovery record and post-work operating acceptance. If validation was not authorized, record that limit. |
| 5 Reporting and decisions   | Reviewed findings, requirement mappings, limitations, corrective owners, funding decisions and a residual-risk record.                                           |
| 6 Verification and handover | Retest evidence, recurring review schedule, retained records, lessons and signed handover. Recommendations remain open until verified or formally dispositioned. |

Sources: F03.

# Applicability and obligation records

Use one row per obligation. A facility can have several reporting clocks, evidence packages and responsible officials for the same event.

## Make a defensible decision

For each candidate instrument, record the issuing authority, legal citation, adopted version, effective date, compliance date, regulated entity, covered system, trigger and exceptions. Link the source copy and the evidence establishing the facility facts. Mark the site decision Applicable, Not applicable with reason, or Unresolved.

Do not mark an item Not applicable because no search result was found. Lack of a public directive, missing permit or restricted document creates an information gap. Determine whether that gap prevents a compliance conclusion, a test activity, or only a future work item.

## Separate obligation types

Federal requirements, state utility orders, public-agency standards, local permits and contracts can overlap. Guidance and a draft standard remain advisory unless a controlling instrument incorporates them. An insurance condition is a contractual requirement, not automatically a law.

Use the 50-state companion to select additional checks. Confirm municipality, county, tribal or territorial authority where relevant. Municipal ownership can change utility jurisdiction and public-entity duties. It does not remove federal obligations that independently apply.

## Preserve the reasoning

The compliance owner signs the decision date and next review trigger. Save the instrument and its source URL in controlled records. Record who will check amendments. For an incident clock, record the trigger event, start timestamp and timezone, deadline rule, recipient, permitted channel, responsible official and receipt.

Sources: [F01](#), [F05](#), [F09](#).

# Federal drinking water obligations

AWIA Section 2013 amended SDWA Section 1433. Its RRA and ERP duties apply to community drinking-water systems serving more than 3,300 people. Wastewater systems do not automatically share this federal certification duty.

## Scope and content

Record each PWSID, community-system status and population served. The complete RRA extends beyond cyber risk and includes physical systems, monitoring, financial infrastructure, chemicals and operation and maintenance. Map cyber evidence into the complete RRA rather than presenting a standalone scan as the statutory assessment.

## Certification sequence

The second-cycle RRA dates were March 31 2025 for systems serving 100,000 or more, December 31 2025 for 50,000 to 99,999, and June 30 2026 for 3,301 to 49,999. Confirm the actual certification receipt. ERP certification is due within six months of actual RRA certification, which can be earlier than a cohort maximum.

EPA lists December 31 2026 as the latest ERP date for the 3,301 to 49,999 cohort when the RRA was certified at its final due date. A missing receipt requires reconciliation now, not assignment of a new six-month period from this assessment. Subsequent five-year review and certification duties need their own calendar.

## Evidence and filing

Retain the completed RRA, ERP, cyber contribution, approved revisions, certification statements and acknowledgments. EPA instructs utilities to submit certifications rather than the actual plans and to keep the plans securely for at least five years. Coordinate with the local emergency planning committee to the extent possible as required by the program.

Sources: [W01](#), [W02](#).

# Wastewater and discharge obligations

Treat wastewater facilities as their own regulatory population. State law and a facility permit can impose cyber duties even when AWIA does not apply.

## Establish the permit boundary

Record the NPDES or state permit, publicly owned treatment works status, outfalls, collection systems, remote pump sites, industrial pretreatment obligations and emergency conditions. Identify which owner or operator is responsible for reporting a malfunction, bypass, release or loss of treatment capability.

## Connect cyber events to physical reporting

An unauthorized account event and a sewer overflow can trigger different reporting duties. Evaluate both the cyber event and the process consequence. Obtain the current permit and state instructions for notification timing, channel and follow-up. Do not wait for a full forensic cause determination before escalating a possible time-sensitive operational report.

## New York example

DEC identifies initial reporting by SPDES permittees as soon as possible and no later than 24 hours after awareness, plus a second report within 30 days. Its adopted 2026 rules distinguish those incident duties from POTW emergency planning, training, access, network and vulnerability requirements. The compendium identifies March 11 2027 for specified POTW requirements and March 28 2027 for initial annual certification.

## Operational evidence

Trace collection and treatment alarms, telemetry dependencies, pump controls, disinfection and effluent monitoring. Examine how personnel detect loss of visibility, verify actual conditions, contact the permit authority and maintain an approved safe operating response. EPA's wastewater ERP template is useful guidance; it does not itself extend SDWA 1433 certification to wastewater.

Sources: [W03](#), [W10](#), [W11](#).

## State water requirements that change the plan

Use the 50-state companion for every facility. The examples below require separate population units and schedules.

| State and source                         | Planning action                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Indiana W04                              | Screen covered computerized/SCADA facilities, including specified community water and wastewater categories. Verify the 500-person water threshold. Separate annual assessment by December 31 from 2026, certification every other year from December 31 2026, and annual August 31 reporter designation. |
| Maryland W05 W06                         | Use more than 3,300 customers or the applicable vulnerability designation for enhanced scope. Confirm the July 1 2026 maturity assessment and two-year cycle. Reconcile agency certification collection with actual operator submission instructions.                                                     |
| New Jersey W07 W08                       | Use more than 500 service connections for WQAA scope. Check the annual controls assessment/certification, framework updates and incident obligations. Confirm any separate BPU order and broader incident-reporting law.                                                                                  |
| New York W09 W10 W11                     | Separate DOH drinking-water applicability from DEC SPDES and POTW duties. Appendix 5-E has a January 1 2027 transition with immediate training/reporting exceptions. Obtain the full DOH rule before signing the site mapping.                                                                            |
| Missouri S25 and New Hampshire S29 NH-WW | Evaluate statutory Internet-connected-control exemptions and other stated exceptions. Do not equate a disconnected system with exemption from every safety, incident or permit duty.                                                                                                                      |

Sources: [W04](#), [W05](#), [W06](#), [W07](#), [W08](#), [W09](#), [W10](#), [W11](#), [S25](#), [S29](#), [NH-WW](#).

# Water process examination

Build separate evidence paths for safe treatment, distribution continuity and wastewater consequences.

## Treatment and dosing

Identify how approved chemical setpoints, recipes or control logic are established, changed, checked and restored. Examine access approvals and change evidence. Ask operations how an incorrect value would be detected by independent measurement or operator checks. Do not test a dosing change as an assessment demonstration.

## Remote pumping and telemetry

Record communications ownership, remote asset access, loss-of-comms behavior, operator alarms, local operating capability and physical access. Examine one representative current communication-loss or recovery record if available. Any live interruption or controller failover requires a separate approved operating test.

## Laboratory and reporting interfaces

Trace the data used to make treatment, public-health and discharge decisions. Review access, time integrity, retained records and exceptions when data is unavailable or unreliable. Establish who can validate field measurements and authorize public or regulatory communication.

## Evidence accepted

The operator validates the process diagram and the credible consequence scenarios. Link each weakness to the affected service and safeguards. Preserve uncertainty where process interlocks, manual operation or alarm effectiveness were described but not demonstrated.

Sources: [F01](#), [W01](#), [W03](#).

# Water incident and continuity exercise

Use an exercise to test decisions and records without manipulating a live process. The following scenario is fictional.

## Scenario

A vendor account is suspected of unauthorized access to a remote pumping environment. Telemetry values are inconsistent, but the actual process condition is not yet confirmed. An operator also reports a communications alarm.

## Controller prompts

Ask the operating lead to establish the safe response and independent condition checks. Ask the technical team to identify the access path, relevant records and time uncertainty. Ask the compliance owner to determine whether state cyber reporting, a permit report, a public-health notification or a contract notice is triggered.

## Test the decisions

Record the first awareness timestamp, event classifications, alternate communications, available credentials held securely, supplier reachability and authority to change remote access. Test whether the team can locate the current ERP and the actual regulator contacts while normal corporate systems are unavailable.

## Acceptance and correction

The observer records decisions, supporting evidence, elapsed times only if measured, missing contacts and unsafe assumptions. Assign an owner to every unresolved issue. A tabletop result demonstrates a decision process, not a successful plant restore or proof that a regulator accepted the report.

Sources: [F04](#), [W03](#), [W10](#).

# Water reporting and recurring calendar

Populate the calendar from signed applicability decisions and actual prior completion records.

| Record                             | Trigger and evidence                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AWIA RRA and ERP                   | Track each PWSID, population cohort, actual certification dates, ERP dependency and five-year review cycle. Retain acknowledgments and current plans.                                                                       |
| State program                      | Record applicable annual or two-year assessment, certification, training and framework-update duties separately. Do not replace a state cycle with AWIA's five-year cycle.                                                  |
| Operational event                  | Use the current permit and state definition for treatment failure, loss of safe service, bypass, overflow or discharge. Record the separate initial and written follow-up clocks.                                           |
| Cyber incident                     | For applicable Indiana facilities, IDEM identifies 24 hours after discovery when operations are affected and two business days when they are not. Check current reporting instructions and concurrent public-entity duties. |
| Physical and organizational change | Review whether the change triggers a new analysis, plan revision, regulator notice or recertification. Keep the change decision and the source establishing the trigger.                                                    |

Sources: [W01](#), [W02](#), [W04](#), [W05](#), [W06](#), [W08](#), [W09](#), [W10](#).

# Document requests and interviews

Request existing records before proposing a live connection. Ask for enough detail to establish the process and control design, then agree how sensitive records will be exchanged.

## Initial evidence request

Request the asset inventory, approved diagrams, process description, current configurations, remote-access arrangements, account owners, maintenance records, backup inventory and restoration evidence. Include PLCs and RTUs, SCADA servers, HMIs, engineering stations, dosing controllers, telemetry, remote pump stations, laboratory interfaces and vendor connections. Ask for the applicable regulatory assessments, prior findings and change history.

Give each request an identifier, purpose, expected owner, time period, format and due date. Allow a supervised review when a copy cannot leave the site. Record evidence not supplied and the effect of that limitation. Never request credentials or live network diagrams through a public inquiry form.

## Interviews that test the record

Ask operations what happens if visibility, remote control, communications or a critical vendor service is lost. Ask engineering which installed details differ from the diagram. Ask the identity owner how vendor access is approved and revoked. Ask the recovery owner to identify the evidence of the last restore rather than describe a backup product.

## Sampling

Define the control population and sampling rationale. Include material differences in site function, equipment family, criticality, remote access and support arrangements. A sample from one convenient facility does not establish the condition at every remote site. State the sample and the unexamined population in the report.

## Readiness evidence

Retain the request register, interview notes with participants and dates, source versions, missing-item log and an agreed set of questions for the field visit. The technical lead checks that an interview statement has not been promoted into a tested fact.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#).

# Safe work and stop authority

Water operations determine safe treatment, pressure, chemical dosing and discharge conditions. An assessor cannot change a setpoint, dosing rate, alarm limit or controller mode.

## Before connecting anything

Attend the operator safety briefing and follow site access, electrical, hazardous-energy, confined-space and other relevant controls. The operator determines which safety program applies. Cyber credentials do not qualify an assessor to perform electrical switching, enter a confined space or bypass a plant permit.

Verify the asset owner, permitted access point, collection device approval, malware screening, cable path and isolation design. A mirror-port or passive tap design can still change traffic handling, expose sensitive data or affect a network. Obtain approval for its installation and removal.

## Define measurable stop conditions

Operations defines the baseline process indicators and limits appropriate to treatment, disinfection, pumping, storage, distribution, collection and effluent control. Record the conditions that require stopping: unexpected alarms, impaired communications, controller health changes, operator concern or any departure from the approved method. Do not invent a universal packet rate or tolerance.

Name who can stop work and who can authorize resumption. Any technician may call a safety stop. The operating owner directs the plant response. The assessor must not improvise isolation, reset devices or restart the process to recover the test.

## Record the stop and recovery

Log time, activity, observed symptoms, notifications, actions directed by operations and restoration evidence. Preserve available records without delaying safety response. Quarantine the activity permit until operations and the technical lead review the cause. Reauthorize only the changed method and remaining targets.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#), [F06](#).

# Inventory and process dependencies

Build an inventory that explains how assets support treatment, disinfection, pumping, storage, distribution, collection and effluent control. A list of IP addresses alone is insufficient.

## Use existing evidence first

Reconcile engineering inventories, configuration exports, approved drawings, maintenance records and supervised physical observations. Record asset identifier, function, location, owner, manufacturer, model, firmware/software, support status, protocol, zone and recovery dependency. Distinguish observed values from information supplied by a vendor or operator.

## Trace the consequence

For each critical process, trace the route from operator interface and control logic to communications, field equipment and supporting services. Identify how an asset failure could contribute to loss of treatment control, unsafe chemical dosing, loss of distribution pressure, sewer overflow or impaired effluent monitoring. Ask engineering to validate the consequence and available protection or manual alternatives.

Record protective functions separately from normal process control. Document dependencies on identity, time sources, licensing, cloud support, third-party communications and engineering tools. Do not assume a safety function remains independent because it appears in a different diagram layer.

## Resolve discrepancies

Mark unknown devices, duplicated identifiers, obsolete drawings and unverified vendor assets. Ask the owner to resolve the discrepancy. An unsupported system needs a control and recovery decision; it is not automatically safe to patch, scan or replace during the assessment.

## Acceptance

The asset owner signs the scoped inventory and dependency map. The report states the sources, collection date, completeness limits and unresolved high-consequence dependencies. Sensitive addressing and configuration details belong in the controlled technical appendix.

Sources: [F01](#), [R01](#), [R02](#).

# Network paths and remote access

Review the paths by which a person, service or external system can reach the scoped process. A firewall diagram is a hypothesis until its relevant controls and limitations are examined.

## Examine the intended boundary

Use dated configurations, rules, diagrams and approved architecture records to identify zones, conduits, remote entry points, jump services and management interfaces. Record source, destination, permitted service, business purpose, approver and review date for each material path.

Separate corporate identity, vendor support, telemetry, cloud management and emergency access. Check whether access can be limited to named users and required assets, reviewed, recorded and revoked. Examine an actual approval and revocation sample rather than relying only on a written policy.

## Examine the actual access process

Observe a supervised approved support workflow or review retained session records. Record authentication, authorization, account ownership, session visibility, shared-account constraints and timeout or revocation behavior. Where an old controller cannot support the desired mechanism, evaluate controls at an approved access gateway.

## Prove only what the method establishes

Configuration review can identify an allowed policy path but may not prove live reachability or exploitation. A controlled connectivity check is a separate authorized activity. A proposed segmentation improvement remains a recommendation until implemented and verified.

## Required records

Retain the approved path register, source versions, access samples, exceptions and the method used. If ISA/IEC 62443 is contractual, obtain the licensed edition and agreed assessment criteria before claiming conformity. The publicly described zone/conduit approach is not a certification.

Sources: [F01](#), [F08](#).

# Accounts legacy systems and suppliers

Start with the access needed to operate and recover the process. Identify the controls that the installed system can support and the protections applied around it.

## Accounts and authorization

Review named users, service accounts, vendor accounts and emergency credentials through approved records. Verify owners, privileges, approval, periodic review and revocation evidence. Review how privileged access is separated from normal user activity. Do not collect secrets in the evidence register.

For shared or embedded accounts, document the technical limitation and compensating controls. Record who knows the credential, how access is supervised and logged, how access is removed when people leave, and what change process is needed to reduce reliance on the account.

## Support and vulnerability decisions

Match versions and support status to vendor advisories and approved evidence. Separate a version match, a suspected vulnerability, and a validated condition. A scanner finding against a similar product does not establish the condition of the installed controller.

For a legacy device, compare patch availability, vendor support, maintenance dependencies, network exposure, replacement lead time and restoration options. The assessment recommends a decision. Operations and engineering approve changes under their change process.

## Supplier obligations

Review contracts for remote access, notification, vulnerability handling, evidence availability, subcontracting, licensing and exit support. Identify unsupported promises and dependencies that have no named owner. Include a supplier contact and escalation alternate in the operating handover.

Sources: [F01](#), [F08](#).

# Monitoring time and evidence handling

Establish whether the available records can answer who accessed what, when, through which path and with what effect. Preserve time uncertainty rather than changing production clocks.

## Collect a usable record

Identify event sources, collection routes, retention settings, access restrictions and review responsibility. Include jump-host, authentication, firewall, engineering and system records relevant to the scoped process. Verify a small authorized event sample appears at the intended collection point.

Record timezone, clock source, observed offset and known gaps. If clocks disagree, preserve the original timestamps and the translation used in analysis. A missing event may be a collection limitation rather than proof that an action did not happen.

## Evidence integrity

Assign a stable evidence ID. Record origin, custodian, collection date and method, source period, filename or record reference, integrity value where appropriate, storage location and access history. Keep originals protected and conduct analysis on controlled working copies. Log transformations and redactions.

## Sensitive information

Have the compliance owner classify customer information, engineering records and any SSI, CEII, CUI or protected public records. Use the handling rules that actually apply. A confidentiality label alone does not establish legal privilege or a statutory disclosure exemption.

## Acceptance

The custodian verifies access, recoverability and retention against the applicable instrument or agreed contract. Do not use one generic retention period for every regulator. Preserve incident records and any legal hold independently of the normal assessment deletion date.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#), [F04](#).

# Recovery and continuity evidence

Check whether the operator can restore the process after loss of PLCs and RTUs, SCADA servers, HMIs, engineering stations, dosing controllers, telemetry, remote pump stations, laboratory interfaces and vendor connections. Backup-job success alone does not establish recovery capability.

## Define the restoration objective

Ask operations to identify the minimum service, safe process condition, restoration sequence and maximum tolerable interruption. Record the chosen recovery objectives, their owner and the evidence supporting feasibility. Do not substitute a generic IT recovery target for an operating requirement.

## Examine recovery dependencies

Identify controller and server configurations, engineering projects, firmware, software, licenses, keys held in controlled storage, approved hardware, spare components and vendor support. Determine whether a clean engineering station and trusted installation material will be available during an incident.

Review backup isolation, access, integrity checks and the evidence of a representative restore. A production controller upload, download or failover requires a separately approved activity. Prefer an appropriate isolated or representative system when production work is unsuitable.

## Exercise and validate

Use a scenario with loss of a material dependency. Record which recovery steps were discussed, demonstrated in a representative environment or performed in production under approval. Document differences between the test environment and the installed system. A tabletop exercise does not prove a successful technical restore.

## Closure evidence

Retain the recovery procedure version, scenario, approvals, result, elapsed time if actually measured, unresolved dependencies and corrective owner. Operations accepts the restored state. Untested assumptions remain explicit in the report and recurring program.

Sources: [F01](#), [F04](#), [R03](#).

# Authorization for active validation

Active validation is optional until a controlling requirement or agreed scope requires it and an acceptable method is authorized. A contractual requirement does not remove the need for a safe operating method.

## Write an activity permit

Identify exact targets, system owner, purpose, tool and version, commands or test functions, allowed traffic behavior, exclusions, operating window and collection location. Include vendor restrictions, communications, recovery support and evidence to retain. Approve the method for the installed version rather than a similar laboratory device.

## Choose the least disruptive evidence

Consider configuration examination, supervised control demonstration, existing logs and representative-environment validation before a production probe. Record which requirement each method addresses and what it cannot establish. Peer reviewed testbed research helps explain test design but does not prove production safety.

## Confirm readiness at the point of work

Operations confirms the process condition and baseline indicators. The technical lead confirms approved tool settings and targets. The evidence custodian confirms recording. The project manager verifies that the authorization is current and that the named stop authority is reachable.

Do not perform denial-of-service, fuzzing, credential guessing, exploit execution, firmware change, controller write or failover merely because it is a standard scanner option. A necessary activity needs an explicit method, suitable environment and separate approval.

## Close the permit

Record start and end times, targets actually reached, deviations, stops, observations and operating-owner acceptance. Revoke temporary access and remove approved collection equipment using the agreed procedure. Keep the original permit and test record linked to the finding.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#), [R03](#).

# Writing findings that can be audited

A finding must show the condition, its evidence, the applicable criterion and the significance for the process. Keep observed facts separate from possible operating consequences.

## Minimum finding record

Record the finding ID, asset or control boundary, evidence IDs and dates, requirement or agreed criterion, observed condition, method and limitation. Explain the credible operating consequence and assumptions. Record existing safeguards, recommended action, responsible owner and proposed verification method.

## Use precise status

Use Observed when the available evidence establishes a condition. Use Suspected or Unresolved when it does not. Record a recommendation, implementation and verification as separate states. A screenshot of a configuration change may support implementation without proving that the intended boundary works.

## Prioritize consequence and uncertainty

Evaluate potential effects on treatment, disinfection, pumping, storage, distribution, collection and effluent control, exposure paths, existing safeguards, recovery limits and confidence in the evidence. Include loss of treatment control, unsafe chemical dosing, loss of distribution pressure, sewer overflow or impaired effluent monitoring where the process supports that consequence. Have engineering review the pathway before presenting it as a fact.

A CVSS score can help describe a software vulnerability, but it does not replace process consequence analysis. Record the organization's risk method and acceptance authority. Avoid multiplying arbitrary numbers into an apparently precise plant-risk score.

## Resolve challenge

Give the operating owner a chance to identify incorrect asset facts, missing safeguards and unsafe remediation proposals. Retain the challenge, additional evidence, reviewer decision and revised wording. A disagreement is not closed merely because the report was delivered.

Sources: [F01](#), [R01](#), [R02](#).

# Corrective work and management reporting

The assessment ends with decisions that an owner can implement and a reviewer can verify. A long list of vulnerabilities without an operating sequence is not a corrective plan.

## Plan the work

For each accepted finding, identify the owner, dependency, required design or procurement, change approval, maintenance window, recovery support, target date and verification evidence. Identify an interim control if the final fix cannot be completed promptly. Do not label a deferred action Implemented.

Sequence actions by operating consequence and dependency. An access boundary may need a maintained jump host, named accounts and supplier support before a legacy controller can be isolated. Verify that the proposed change does not prevent emergency operation or recovery.

## Report to leadership

Provide a concise operating-risk summary, the most consequential unresolved issues, funding or outage decisions, affected services and the limits of the assessment. Show the remaining work and who may accept the residual risk. Keep confidential addressing and detailed weaknesses in a restricted appendix.

## Report to technical owners

Provide enough evidence and context to reproduce the observation using the approved method. Identify configuration versions, affected boundary, exceptions and exact acceptance criteria. Do not include reusable credentials or unnecessary customer information.

## Regulatory conclusion

State only the obligations actually assessed, versions, facility scope, sample and dates. List requirements not assessed or not demonstrated. An engineering assessment does not certify overall organizational compliance or replace a regulator's decision.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#).

# Verification closure and recurring work

Close an action against predefined evidence. A management assertion, purchase order or completed ticket is not automatically a verified control result.

## Verification procedure

The reviewer checks that implementation evidence matches the approved change. Agree a safe validation method, compare the result with the acceptance criterion, and retain the evidence. Record exceptions and whether the result establishes design, implementation or operating effectiveness.

If production validation remains unsuitable, state what the alternative evidence supports and what remains uncertain. The risk owner may accept that limit within delegated authority; the report must not silently convert it into a tested result.

## Handover

Return the current inventory, dependency map, control and finding register, activity permits, relevant evidence index, operating procedures, supplier contacts and training record to the designated owners. Check that records can be retrieved by authorized staff during an outage. Remove temporary access under the agreed change record.

## Recurring triggers

Schedule reviews from the actual instruments and operational change cycle. Trigger a new applicability review after ownership or service changes, a new state footprint, contract changes, a revised standard, significant architecture change or a material incident. Confirm whether a statutory update or certification is required before setting the due date.

## Final acceptance

The operating owner accepts the process condition and handover. The technical reviewer signs the evidence-based conclusions. The compliance owner approves the requirement mapping and remaining legal questions. The sponsor records funding and residual-risk decisions. The project manager closes only the deliverables that meet those acceptance criteria.

Sources: [F01](#), [F03](#), [F04](#).

# Facility and applicability worksheet

Complete one worksheet for each legal entity, facility and distinct regulatory boundary. Keep source records in controlled storage.

Facility legal entity address and operating function

Ownership operator classification permits registration and contracts

Applicable instruments exact versions and source record IDs

Applicability decisions exceptions and unresolved questions

Operating technical compliance and project owners with alternates

Decision approvers dates next review and authority record

# Field activity authorization worksheet

The operating owner approves the activity before work. This worksheet records permission; completing it does not itself grant authority.

Activity purpose exact targets and asset owners

Method tool version permitted functions and excluded actions

Vendor constraints approved traffic behavior and operating baseline

Operating window communications and named stop authority

Recovery support safe response and resumption authority

Operating approval technical approval date and change record

# Evidence and finding worksheet

Record facts separately from possible consequences. Link the original evidence and preserve source times and limitations.

Evidence ID source custodian period collection method and integrity

Observed condition and method used to establish it

Applicable requirement exact version and agreed assessment criterion

Operating consequence safeguards assumptions and evidence limits

Finding ID responsible owner priority rationale and challenge

Reviewer disposition date and linked technical record

# Corrective action and acceptance worksheet

Keep recommended, implemented and verified states separate. Record remaining risk and the person authorized to accept it.

Finding ID action owner dependencies and target date

Approved implementation change record and operating window

Verification criterion authorized method result and evidence IDs

Remaining limitations interim controls and residual risk decision

Operating acceptance compliance disposition and recurring review

Technical reviewer sponsor decision date and closure record

## Terms used in the planning records

| Term                      | Meaning in this pack                                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| OT ICS and SCADA          | Operational technology; industrial control systems; supervisory control and data acquisition.                                                                                                  |
| PLC RTU HMI DCS SIS       | Programmable logic controller; remote terminal unit; human-machine interface; distributed control system; safety instrumented system.                                                          |
| RRA ERP and PWSID         | Risk and resilience assessment; emergency response plan; public water system identifier.                                                                                                       |
| BES CIP DER and EMS DMS   | Bulk Electric System; Critical Infrastructure Protection; distributed energy resources; energy/distribution management system.                                                                 |
| SSI CEII and CUI          | Sensitive Security Information; Critical Energy/Electric Infrastructure Information under the applicable authority; Controlled Unclassified Information. Determine the actual handling regime. |
| CySO CISO and EHS         | Cybersecurity Officer; Chief Information Security Officer; environment health and safety.                                                                                                      |
| PHA MOC and CMMC          | Process hazard analysis; management of change; Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification.                                                                                                     |
| Applicable and Unresolved | Applicable requires facility facts and a controlling instrument. Unresolved identifies a missing fact or authority; it is not equivalent to Not applicable.                                    |

# Sources and instrument status

## **F01 Guide to Operational Technology Security Revision 3**

NIST. Final guidance. September 2023 final publication; chapters 4 through 6 and OT control tailoring.

## **F02 Guide to Operational Technology Security Revision 4**

NIST. Draft guidance. September 21 2026 initial public draft. Revision 4 is not the final baseline in this edition.

## **R01 A survey of cyber security management in industrial control systems**

Knowles and colleagues in International Journal of Critical Infrastructure Protection. Peer reviewed research. Volume 9 June 2015 pages 52 to 80. Used for qualitative management context, not contemporary law or numeric effectiveness claims.

## **R02 Threat modeling of industrial control systems A systematic literature review**

Computers and Security. Peer reviewed research. Volume 136 January 2024 article 103543. Supports structured threat modeling; it does not validate a specific plant assessment.

## **R03 ICSSIM A framework for building industrial control systems security testbeds**

Computers in Industry. Peer reviewed research. Volume 148 June 2023 article 103906. Laboratory results do not establish safety or coverage on installed production equipment.

Sources: [F01](#), [F02](#), [R01](#), [R02](#), [R03](#).

# Sources and instrument status

## **F03 Technical Guide to Information Security Testing and Assessment**

NIST. Final guidance. Assessment planning and rules of engagement. Apply OT operating constraints; this IT testing guide does not authorize production testing.

## **F05 CIRCIA public rulemaking notice**

CISA and Federal Register. Rulemaking record. 2026 notice referring to the proposed rule and further town halls. This notice does not establish an effective final reporting rule. A current final rule was not established in this research.

## **F09 Cybersecurity Resource Center**

New York DFS. Agency implementation source. 23 NYCRR Part 500 covered entity scope. New York location alone does not make an industrial operator a DFS covered entity.

## **W01 AWIA Section 2013 and SDWA Section 1433**

EPA. Agency implementation guidance. Covered systems; RRA and ERP content; certification cycles.

## **W02 Certification of Risk and Resilience Assessments and Emergency Response Plans**

EPA. Agency implementation guidance. Certification and secure retention of actual plans.

Sources: [F03](#), [F05](#), [F09](#), [W01](#), [W02](#).

# Sources and instrument status

## **W03 Emergency Response Plan Templates for Drinking Water and Wastewater**

EPA. Agency guidance. Separate drinking water and wastewater templates. EPA identifies the wastewater template as voluntary under SDWA 1433.

## **W10 Wastewater cybersecurity resources and adopted rules**

New York DEC. Agency implementation guidance. 6 NYCRR Parts 616 650 and 750; March 2026 adoption; reporting.

## **W11 Wastewater cybersecurity regulations compendium**

New York DEC. Agency guidance. March 2026 compendium; POTW compliance dates and referenced documents.

## **W04 Cybersecurity for Water and Wastewater Facilities**

Indiana IDEM. Agency implementation guidance. IC 13-18-16.5; covered facility types; 2026 assessments and incident reporting.

## **W05 Community Water and Sewerage System Cybersecurity**

Maryland General Assembly. Enacted chapter law. Chapter 495 of 2025; Environment Article 9-2704 through 9-2707. Read final added text and strikeouts correctly; customers are not the same unit as AWIA population.

Sources: [W03](#), [W10](#), [W11](#), [W04](#), [W05](#).

# Sources and instrument status

## **W06 Guidance on Senate Bill 871**

Maryland MDE. Agency guidance. April 2026 guidance; system assessments, certification and ERP work. Agency collection or legislative reporting dates must not be assigned to operators as unverified filing deadlines.

## **W07 Water Quality Accountability Act**

New Jersey DEP. Agency implementation guidance. Cybersecurity plans; annual assessment; scope over 500 service connections. Full-page retrieval was restricted; selected official search excerpts were checked against chapter law W08.

## **W08 Water cybersecurity amendments**

New Jersey Legislature. Enacted chapter law. P L 2021 chapter 262 and N J S A 58:31-4.1.

## **W09 New York drinking water cybersecurity applicability**

New York DOH. Current rule page. 10 NYCRR Appendix 5-E.1; Subpart 5-1.33. Full-page retrieval was restricted. The January 1 2027 transition and immediate training/reporting exceptions are supported by official indexed text; obtain the full rule before facility signoff.

## **S25 Missouri selected authority**

Missouri Legislature. Selected enacted sector law. Selected state authority and applicability research as of October 16 2026.

Sources: [W06](#), [W07](#), [W08](#), [W09](#), [S25](#).

# Sources and instrument status

## **S29 New Hampshire selected authority**

New Hampshire Legislature. Enacted sector law. Selected state authority and applicability research as of October 16 2026.

## **NH-WW Wastewater cybersecurity protection program**

New Hampshire Legislature. Enacted sector law. RSA 485-A:5-f; current codified text.

## **F04 Incident Response Recommendations and Considerations Revision 3**

NIST. Final guidance. April 2025 final publication.

## **F06 State Plans**

OSHA. Jurisdiction directory. State and federal occupational safety jurisdiction. Check public employee coverage and industry exceptions for the actual workplace.

## **F08 ISA IEC 62443 Series of Standards**

ISA. Standards publisher overview. Published series and applicable parts. Full normative texts were not obtained. No clause-level conformity or security level is certified here.

Sources: [S29](#), [NH-WW](#), [F04](#), [F06](#), [F08](#).